EVAPORATION AND CONDENSATION

J. Mikielewicz

Institute of Fluid Flow Machinery Polish Academy of Sciences, Gdańsk, Poland

D. Mikielewicz

Gdańsk University of Technology, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Poland

Keywords: Chemical engineering, heat transfer, change of phase, pool boiling, flow boiling, evaporation, two-phase flow, boiling crisis, condensation.

Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Pool Boiling
- 2.1. Bubble Formation, Growth and Departure
- 2.2. Pool Boiling Heat Transfer
- 2.3. Pool Boiling Crisis
- 3. Flow Boiling
- 3.1. Hydrodynamics of Two-Phase Flow
- 3.2. Forced Convection Boiling
- 3.3. Flow Boiling Crisis
- 4. Thermal-hydraulic Phenomena during Flow Boiling in Small Diameter Channels
- 5. Condensation
- 5.1. Film Condensation
- 5.1.1. Laminar Motion of Condensate
- 5.1.2. Turbulent Motion of Condensate
- 5.2. Condensation on Tubes
- 5.2.1. Condensation on Tube Banks
- 5.3. Effect of Noncondensable Gas
- 5.4. Condensation inside Tubes

Glossary

Bibliography

Biographical Sketches

Summary

In manufacturing processes several heat transfer phenomena may occur, of which boiling or condensation play a very significant role. These processes are usually applied in heat removal from different surfaces and can be found in chemical engineering as well as other areas of contemporary technology and they have huge practical significance, just to mention perspective applications in removal of large heat fluxes in electronics or power technology. Conversion one phase into the other may proceed equally on the wall and inside the phase; however usually the first of these cases is found in technical applications. Evaporation is the process of turning a liquid, at its saturation temperature, into vapor by applying heat. Boiling on a solid surface takes place when the temperature of the heated surface is higher than the saturation temperature of the liquid. The process reverse to boiling is condensation, where vapor turns into liquid due to the removal of heat. The necessary condition for the process to occur is that the temperature of surface should be lower than the corresponding saturation temperature of the liquid.

1. Introduction

Evaporation and condensation are amongst the most important industrial processes which are used primarily in chemical technology as well as other areas of contemporary technology and they have huge practical significance, just to mention perspective applications in removal of large heat fluxes in electronics and power engineering. Conversion of one phase into another may proceed equally on the wall and inside the phase; however usually the first of these cases is found in technical applications. Evaporation is the process of turning a liquid, at its saturation temperature, into vapor by applying heat. A similar process occurs when the pressure, applied to a hot liquid is reduced. Such process is called flashing. Boiling is associated with rapid formation of vapor in the entire volume of a liquid; however it is mostly associated with superheated surfaces, where it is primarily promoted. Boiling on solid surface takes place when temperature of heated surface is higher than the saturation temperature of the liquid. The reverse process is the condensation process, where vapor turns into liquid due to removal of heat. The necessary condition for the process to occur is that the temperature of surface should be lower than the corresponding saturation temperature of the liquid. These phenomena are more complicated than issues of convection in the case of a single phase. Their mathematical description requires thus knowledge of the flow structure of both phases together with interfacial phenomena. Hence, the existing theories provide only simplified description of the issues related to these phenomena and require experimental validation.

2. Pool Boiling

2.1. Bubble Formation, Growth and Departure

The life of a single bubble may be summarized as occurring in the following sequence of processes: nucleation, growth and possible collapse. Waiting period occurs in a bubble site just after the departure of a bubble from a surface and before a new bubble is formed on the heated surface. Initial growth from the nucleation size is controlled by inertia and surface tension effects. In the next stage of growth heat transfer becomes important, while inertia effects begin to lose significance. If the bubble during its growth, contacts the subcooled liquid, it may collapse. The bubble growth in the case of a saturated or superheated liquid finally reaches the size allowing it to leave the surface.

Nucleation can only occur if a liquid is superheated. There are two types of nuclei which can promote such a process. One type is formed in a pure liquid resulting from fluctuations of liquid molecules. The other type can be a cavity on the heating wall or suspended foreign material (Figure 1). According to the kinetic theory of gases, in the case of pure gases and liquids the local fluctuations create clusters of molecules acting as nuclei for prospective vapor bubbles. Creation of nuclei is related to overcoming the energy barrier. If a liquid superheat is increased, more liquid molecules can be converted to vapor. The size of the nucleus, in order to be active, must exceed the

thermodynamic equilibrium. The condition for thermodynamic equilibrium at a vaporliquid interface in pure substance can be written as:

$$p_{\rm G} - p_{\rm L} = \sigma \left(\frac{1}{R_{\rm I}} + \frac{1}{R_{\rm 2}} \right) \tag{1}$$

In Eq. (1) R_1 and R_2 are the principal radii of interface curvature. For a spherical nucleus of radius R, Eq. (1) becomes the Laplace equation:

$$p_{\rm G} - p_{\rm L} = 2\sigma/R \tag{2}$$

Difference of pressure between gas and liquid corresponds to superheat of the liquid near the wall $T_G - T_S$. To determine the minimum radius of the bubble at equilibrium we make use of the Clausius-Clapeyron equation:

$$\frac{dp}{dT} = \frac{h_{\rm LG}}{(v_{\rm G} - v_{\rm L})T}$$

Expressing (3) with finite-differences instead of infinitesimal differences and assuming $v_{\rm G} \gg v_{\rm L}$ one can obtain:

(3)

$$p_{\rm G} - p_{\rm L} = \frac{(T_{\rm G} - T_{\rm sat})h_{\rm LG}\rho_{\rm G}}{T_{\rm sat}}$$
(4)

Combination of (2) and (4) yields the critical radius for the bubble at the equilibrium state:

$$R = \frac{2\sigma}{h_{\rm LG}\rho_{\rm G}} \frac{T_{\rm sat}}{(T_{\rm G} - T_{\rm sat})}$$
(5)

Hence for increasing superheat, the nucleation site size can be smaller. A smaller nucleus will collapse and a larger nucleus will grow. Labuncow (1959) showed that most probable places for nucleation are cavities on the heating surface. Critical radius determined by (5) may be taken as equal to the radius of cavity. Possible examples of nucleation sites are shown in Fig. 1. The liquid temperature can be taken as in the liquid immediately adjacent to the heating surface. That means the temperature of the liquid can be represented by the temperature profile in the thermal boundary layer. Bubble will grow when its temperature of bubble is lower than the temperature of the liquid and the pressure inside the bubble is higher than the pressure of the surrounding liquid. The schematic of the favorable conditions for the bubble growth model is presented in Fig. 2.



Figure 1. Examples of nucleation sites.

In the case when temperature of liquid is lower than the temperature of the bubble $T_{\rm L} < T_{\rm G}$, vapor bubbles cannot exist. The range between the minimum, $y_{\rm min}$, and maximum, $y_{\rm max}$, sizes of bubbles corresponds to temperature range where temperature of the surrounding fluid is greater than the vapor temperature inside the bubble. In such a case the bubble can increase its size.



Figure 2. Active size range of nucleation sites.

There is a "waiting period" between the time of release of one bubble and the time of nucleation of the next at a given nucleation site. To predict the waiting period Hsu and Graham (1976) proposed a model based on heating of the liquid by transient conduction. When the size of a bubble nucleus formed in a liquid exceeds that of thermodynamic equilibrium the bubble will grow. During the initial stage of growth, the inertia of surrounding liquid and the surface tension forces control the growth process. At a later stage of bubble growth, heat diffusion effects control its growth.

The bubble contact with a heated surface is sustained by the force balance, see Fig. 3. This balance includes surface forces, buoyancy, liquid inertia due to bubble growth, viscous forces, and forces due to the liquid convection around the bubble. For a horizontally heated surface the maximum sitting bubble size can be determined as a function of contact angle, surface tension, and liquid-vapor density difference. Fritz (1935) found the bubble diameter, just before leaving the surface:

CHEMICAL ENGINEERING AND CHEMICAL PROCESS TECHNOLOGY – Vol. II - Evaporation and Condensation - J. Mikielewicz, D. Mikielewicz

$$D_{\rm b} = C_{\rm d} \phi \left(\frac{2\sigma}{g(\rho_{\rm L} - \rho_{\rm G})} \right) \tag{6}$$



Figure 3. Active size range of nucleation sites; F_{s} -surface tension force, F_{B} -buoyancy force, F_{n} -inertia force due to bubble growth, F_{t} -flow resistance force

The constant $C_d = 0.0148$ and the contact angle ϕ is in degrees. When a bubble starts to grow on a heating surface a time interval t_d is required for it to depart from the surface. As mentioned before, the time interval t_w , i.e. the "waiting period", is required to heat the new liquid layer in order to promote nucleation. If t_d represents the time of departure, t_w the waiting period, the frequency of bubble departure f_b is then defined as $1/(t_w + t_d)$. The increase of heat flux activates more nucleation sites, thus increasing the bubble population and reduces the time and size of departing bubbles. There is a

correlation between the departure size and departure frequency, which according to Jakob (1949) reads:

$$D_{\rm b}f_{\rm b} = 0.59 \left[\frac{\sigma g(\rho_{\rm L} - \rho_{\rm G})}{\rho_{\rm L}^2}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}}$$
(7)

The velocity of bubble rise in a gravitational field is:

$$V_{\rm b} = D_{\rm b} f_{\rm b} \tag{8}$$

Heat is transferred into bulk liquid by the motion of bubbles away from the wall (latent heat transport). The bubbles also transport some superheated liquid around each bubble, or by turbulent transport in the liquid. When the bulk of liquid is subcooled the bubbles in contact with the subcooled bulk liquid condense and collapse.

TO ACCESS ALL THE **39 PAGES** OF THIS CHAPTER, Visit: <u>http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx</u>

Bibliography

Baker O., Design of pipe lines for simultaneous flow of oil and gas, Oil and Gas J., 26, 1954. [Flow maps].

Bao, Z.Y.; Fletcher, D.F.; Haynes, B.S., Flow boiling heat transfer of Freon R11 and HCFC123 in narrow passages, Int. Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, Vol.43, pp. 3347-3358, 2000. [Experimental data used in analysis].

Bromley L.A., Heat transfer in stable film boiling, Chem. Engng Prog., 46, 221-227, 1950. [Mean heat transfer coefficient in pool boiling].

Carey V.P., Liquid-Vapor Phase-Change Phenomena, An Introduction to the Thermophysics of Vaporisation and Condensation Processes in Heat Transfer Equipment, Hemisphere, 1992. [Basic textbook]

Chato J., ASHRAE Journal, p. 52, 1962. [Mean heat transfer coefficient in condensation].

Chen J.C., Correlation for boiling heat-transfer to saturated fluids in convective flow, Ind. Chem. Eng. Proc. Des. Dev. 5 (3), 322–339, 1966. [Model of two-phase flow boiling].

Celata G.P., Michima K., Zummo G., Critical heat flux prediction for saturated flow boiling of water in vertical tubes, Int. J. Heat and Mass Transfer, 44, 4323-4331, 2001. [Survey of boiling crisises].

Cooper M.G., Saturation nucleate pool boiling: a simple correlation, Int. Chem. Eng. Symposium, 86, 785-793, 1984. [Model of pool-boiling].

Cumo M., Maciuca C., Moronesi M., Palazi G., A burn-out correlation to scale water with Freon, European Two-Phase Flow Group Meeting, Harwell, 1974. [Empirical study of dryout].

Dengler C. E., Addoms J. N., Heat transfer mechanism for vaporisation of water in vertical tube, Chem. Eng. Prog. Symp. Ser., 52, 18, 95-103, 1956. [Flow boiling empirical correlation].

Fritz W., Berechnung de Maximal Volume von Dampfblasen, Phys. Z., 36, 1935. [Bubble diameter before departure].

Guerrieri S. A., Talty R. D., A study of heat transfer to organic liquids in single tube natural circulation vertical tube boilers, Chem. Eng. Prog. Symp. Ser., 52, 18, 69-77, 1956. [Flow boiling empirical correlation].

Hahne E., Grigull U., Heat transfer in boiling", Hemisphere Publishing Corp., 1977. [Textbook with fundaments of boiling].

Hewitt G.F. and Roberts D.N., Studies of two-phase flow patterns by simultaneous X-ray and flash photography, AERE-M 2159, HMSO, 1969. [Flow maps].

Hsu, Y.Y and R.W. Graham, Transport Processes in Boiling and Two-Phase Systems Including Near-Critical Fluids, McGraw-Hill (1976). [Theoretical model of pool boiling].

Jakob M., Heat Transfer, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1949. [Textbook with fundaments of heat transfer].

Jaster H., Kosky P.G., Condensation in a mixed flow regime, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, vol. 19, 95-99, 1976. [Correlation on cpndensation].

Kattan N., Thome J.R., Favrat D., Flow boiling in horizontal tubes. Part 3: Development of a new heat transfer model based on flow patterns, J. Heat Transfer, 120 (1), 156-165, 1998. [Model of flow boiling in horizontal channels].

Kew P., Cornwell K., Correlations for the prediction of boiling heat transfer in small diameter channels, Applied Thermal Engineering, Vol. 17, No. 8-10, pp.705-715, 1997. [Introduction of constraint number].

Kutateładze S.S., Boiling heat transfer, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 4, 31-45, 1961. [Textbook with fundaments of boiling].

Labuncow D.A., Heat transfer in nucleate boiling (in Russsian), Teploenergetika, 12, pp. 19, 1959. [Textbook with fundaments of boiling].

Lin S. et al.; Two-phase heat transfer to a refrigerant in a 1 mm diameter tube, International Journal of Refrigeration 24 (2001) 51-56, 2001. [Experimental data on flow boiling].

Liu, Z., and Winterton, R. H. S., 1988, "Wet Wall Flow Boiling Correlation with Explicit Nucleate Boiling Term," *Multiphase Transport and Particulate Phenomena*, Edited by T. Nejat Veziroglu, Hemisphere Publishing Co., **1**, pp. 419–432. [Correlation of flow boiling].

Mikielewicz D., Mikielewicz J., Wajs J., Gliński M., A model of dryout in annular flow, Proc. 6th Int. Conference on Multiphase Flow, ICMF 2007, Leipzig, Germany, July 9–13, 2007. [Model of dryout].

Mikielewicz J., Semi-empirical method of determining the heat transfer coefficient for subcooled saturated boiling in a channel, Int. J. Heat Transfer, 17, 1129 1134, 1973. [Theoretical model of flow boiling].

Mikielewicz D, Mikielewicz J, Tesmar J: Improved semi-empirical method for determination of heat transfer coefficient in flow boiling in conventional and small diameter tubes, Int. Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer 50 (2007), 3949-3956. [Theoretical model of flow boiling].

Okawa T., Kotani A., Kataoka I., Naitoh M., Prediction of the critical heat flux in annular regime in various vertical channels, Nucl. Engng and Design, 229, 223-236, 2004. [Correlations for entrainment and deposition terms in dryout modelling].

Oshinowo T. and Charles M.E., Vertical two-phase flow. Part I. Flow pattern correlations, The Canadian J. Chem. Engng, 52, 25-35, 1974. [Flow maps]

Rohsenow W.M., A method of correlating heat transfer data for surface boiling of liquids, Trans. ASME, 74, 969, 1952. [Pool boiling correlation].

Sedler B., Mikielewicz J., A simplified model of the boiling crisis, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 24, 431-438, 1981. [Model of dryout].

Taitel Y. and Dukler A.E., A model for predicting flow regime transitions in horizontal and near horizontal gas-liquid flow, AIChE J., 22, 47-55, 1976. [Flow maps].

Tong L.S., Tang Y.S., Boiling Heat Transfer and Two-Phase Flow, Taylor and Francis, 1997. [Textbook with fundaments of boiling].

Tran T.N., Wambsganss M.W., France D.M., Small circular and rectangular channel boiling with two refrigerants; Int. J. Multiphase Flow, Vol. 22, No. 3, pp. 485-498, 1996. [Experimental data on flow boiling].

Tran TN, Chyu M-C, Wambsganss MW, France DM, Two-phase pressure drop of refrigerants during flow boiling in small channels: an experimental investigation and correlation development, Int. J. of Multiphase Flow, 26, 1739-1754, 2000. [Model of pressure drop in small diameter channels].

Wojtan L., Ursenbacher T., Thome J.R., Development of a new heat transfer model for stratified-wavy, dryout and mist flow regimes, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 48, 2970-2985, 2005. [Model of flow boiling in horizontal channels].

Zivi S.M., Estimation of steady state steam void fraction by means of the principle of minimum entropy production, Trans. ASME (J. Heat Transfer), 86, 247-252, 1964. [Model of void fraction].

Zuber N., On the stability of boiling heat transfer, Trans. ASME, 80, 711, 1958. [Stability criterion in boiling].

Biographical Sketches

Jaroslaw Mikielewicz, born in Vilnus, Poland, 10 April 1941. Education: received all the academic titles from the Gdansk University of Technology, Poland, namely the MSc in Mechanical Engineering in 1964, Ph.D. (Mechanical Engineering) in 1968 and Doctor of Science (habilitation) in 1972. The title of Professor was awarded to him in 1979 by the President of State Council of Poland. He received the honorary Doctor of Engineering degree from the Technical University of Cracow in 2004. He has been elected a Corresponding Member to the Polish Academy of Sciences in 2002. Currently he is the President of Editorial Board of the international journal Archives of Thermodynamics and the President of Editorial Board of the Transactions of the Institute of Fluid Flow Machinery PASci. He served as faculty member at several universities and scientific boards of scientific institutions etc. Current Position: Full Professor, Head of the Institute of Fluid Flow Machinery Polish Academy of Sciences, Gdansk and Professor at the Technical University Koszalin, Poland. Fellowships: Institute of Thermal Technology of Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk 1970, American Academy of Sciences in: MIT Heat Transfer Laboratory, University of Delaware, University of Michigan, 1974, Visiting Full Professor, Brown University, Providence RI, USA 1981/1982, German Academy of Sciences (DAAD) in various German Universities 1986. American Academy of Sciences in various American Universities (Rennselear Polytechnic Institute) 1988. Fellowship in McMaster University, Canada 1992. Author or coauthor of above 170 papers. Research Interests: include nuclear plant safety analysis, heat transfer (flow boiling), fluid mechanics (two-phase flow, thin liquid films), thermodynamics, renewable energy in variety of application areas.

Dariusz Mikielewicz, born in 1967. He received his Master of Science degree from the Gdansk University of Technology in the area of Refrigeration Technology in 1990. Subsequently he completed his doctoral education at the Victoria University of Manchester, Great Britain, where he was awarded a PhD degree from the Mechanical and Nuclear Engineering Department in 1994 for the studies on the performance of turbulence models in modeling of mixed convection in tubes. He then joined in 1994 the Nuclear Electric plc, where he was an engineer involved with the development of the safety reactor code Panther. In 1996 he returned to Poland, where he took up employment at the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering of Gdansk University of Technology (FME GUT) at the Heat Technology Department, and where he is still employed. In 2002 he was awarded the Doctor of Science degree (habilitation) by the Board of FME GUT for the studies into modeling of two-phase flows in boundary layers. From 2004 he is

employed as a professor at FMW GUT. Since 2004 he is an elected member of the Council for Science at the Ministry for Science and Higher Education. His research interests include modeling of single and two-phase heat transfer in conventional and small diameter channels, jets and sprays, microjets, and renewable energy.