

## INSECT VECTORS OF PHYTOPLASMAS

**R. I. Rojas-Martínez**

*Department of Plant Pathology, Colegio de Postgraduado- Campus Montecillo, México*

**Keywords:** Specificity of phytoplasmas, species diversity, host

### Contents

1. Introduction
  2. Factors involved in the transmission of phytoplasmas by the insect vector
  3. Acquisition and transmission of phytoplasmas
  4. Families reported to contain species that act as vectors of phytoplasmas
  5. *Bactericera cockerelli*
- Glossary  
Bibliography  
Biographical Sketch

### Summary

The principal means of dissemination of phytoplasmas is by insect vectors. The interactions between phytoplasmas and their insect vectors are, in some cases, very specific, as is suggested by the complex sequence of events that has to take place and the complex form of recognition that this entails between the two species. The commonest vectors, or at least those best known, are members of the order Homoptera of the families Cicadellidae, Cixiidae, Psyllidae, Cercopidae, Delphacidae, Derbidae, Menopliidae and Flatidae. The family with the most known species is, without doubt, the Cicadellidae (15,000 species described, perhaps 25,000 altogether), in which 88 species are known to be able to transmit phytoplasmas. In the majority of cases, the transmission is of a trans-stage form, and only in a few species has transovarial transmission been demonstrated. Thus, two forms of transmission by insects generally are known for phytoplasmas: trans-stage transmission occurs for most phytoplasmas in their interactions with their insect vectors, and transovarial transmission is known for only a few phytoplasmas.

### 1. Introduction

The phytoplasmas are non culturable parasitic prokaryotes, the mechanisms of dissemination is mainly by the vector insects. The commonest vectors, or at least those best known, are members of the order Himeptera, from the families Cicadellidae, Cixiidae, Psyllidae, Cercopidae, Delphacidae, Derbidae, Menopliidae and Flatidae. In the majority of cases, transmission only occurs between stages; transovarial transmission has only been demonstrated in a few species (Beanland *et al.*, 2000; Hanboonsong *et al.*, 2002; Kawakita *et al.*, 2000; Lee *et al.*, 1998; Marcone *et al.*, 2001; Parry, 1990).

The interactions between phytoplasmas and their insect vectors are, in some cases, very specific, as indicated by the complex sequence of events that must take place and the

precise recognition events that must occur between the two organisms. In other cases, a single insect species has the ability to transmit more than one phytoplasma, *e.g.* *Hishimonus sellatus*, which can transmit six different phytoplasmas (Weintraub and Beanland, 2006), and some phytoplasmas are transmitted by more than one species of insect, with individual vectors able to be infected by two or multiple races of phytoplasmas (Weintraub and Beanland, 2006).

From a wild phytoplasma race causing onion yellowing (OY-W), a second race or line was obtained (OY-M) that caused only mild symptoms after passage over a period of 11 years through plants of *Chrysanthemum coronarium* (using the insect vector *Macrostelus striifrons*). A third line (OY-NIM) was obtained from the line causing mild symptoms (OY-M) after transmission by grafting for 2 years without the involvement of insect vectors. The phytoplasma line OY-NIM was apparently unable to pass across the intestine or survive in the haemolymph of the insect vector.

The relations between phytoplasmas and their insect vectors can be beneficial, deleterious or neutral in terms of their impact on the fitness of the insect host. The first reports suggested that infection by phytoplasmas was prejudicial to the insect hosts (Severin, 1946, cited by Weintraub and Beanland, 2006), whilst the most recent reports suggest that phytoplasmas can increase the fitness of their insect hosts (Beanland, 2000). Weintraub and Beanland (2006) determined that exposure to a race of aster yellows (AY) increased the longevity and fecundity of females of *M. quadrilineatus*; however, exposure to another race of AY increased the longevity of the insects tested but not the number of offspring produced. The effects of infection by phytoplasma on the insect host have implications for the incidence and dispersion of the disease (Weintraub and Beanland, 2006). Infection by phytoplasmas can have different effects on different vector species; for example, Madden *et al.* (1995) reported that the phytoplasma causing maize bushy stunt had a less deleterious effect on its primary vector *B. elimatus* than on its secondary vector *D. maidis*. Moreover, in the insect *Scaphoideus titanus*, which transmits the phytoplasma causing symptoms of grapevine flavescence dorée (FD), a diminution in fecundity was reported in females of this insect exposed to bean plants (*Vicia faba*) infected by the phytoplasma causing FD. The diminution in fecundity was revealed after dissection of 42-day-old adult females and determining, by counting under the microscope, that the number of eggs contained by insect adults exposed to plants infected by FD was only about 50% of the number found in adults exposed to healthy plants. In the same way, the number of nymphs emerging per infected female was only one third that of females not infected by FD (Bressan *et al.*, 2005). Environmental factors, such as temperature, can also regulate the effects of infection by phytoplasmas of their insect hosts; thus Garcia *et al.* 1991, reported that infection by disease X could be deleterious for the vector *Paraphlepsius irroratus* at low temperatures but not in the range 25 to 30°C.

Those phytoplasmas that reduce the fitness of their insect hosts may have had a relatively short evolutionary relationship with these insect species, as selection would reduce the deleterious effects on the insect hosts (Weintraub and Beanland, 2006). Only those phytoplasmas that do not kill their hosts would be able to survive to be introduced to a host plant and subsequently be acquired by another vector (Weintraub and Beanland, 2006).

Recent reports have suggested that phytoplasmas were initially associated only with insects, since they generally tend to cause damage in their host plants but not in their insect hosts, rather in some cases extending the life expectancy of the insect and increasing its fecundity (Beanland *et al.*, 2000). Phytoplasmas can infect many different organs and tissues in insects, including salivary glands, the intestine, haemolymph, ovaries and testes, amongst others, but in plants they only infect phloem tissue; and phytoplasmas can be transmitted transovarially to the next insect generation (although only a few cases have so far been reported), whilst seed transmission is unknown in plants (Nishigawa *et al.*, 2002; Kawakita *et al.*, 2000).

The transmission of phytoplasmas by insect vectors is of a persistent form (Tedeschi and Alma, 2004). Once an insect vector feeds on a diseased plant it acquires the phytoplasma via the alimentary canal; the phytoplasma can pass intracellularly across the epithelial cells of the intestine and multiplies inside a vesicle, or it can pass through both layers of cells (epithelial layer and basal membrane) and enter the haemocoel (Lefol *et al.*, 1994). The phytoplasmas circulate in the haemolymph, from where they can infect and reproduce in other tissues, such as Malpighian tubules, fat bodies, brain, and reproductive organs; for example, the phytoplasma causing flavescence dorée acquired from infected beans binds strongly to tissues of the alimentary tract, haemolymph and salivary glands, but not to the muscles or genital organs of its insect hosts, *Scaphoideus titanus* and *Euscelidius variegatus* (Lefol *et al.*, 1994). The molecular factors involved in the movement of phytoplasmas across the various insect tissues are still unknown; however, Oshima *et al.* (2004) produced a mutant onion yellowing (OY) phytoplasma that is not transmitted by cicadellid insects and whose genome (870 Kb) is smaller than that of the wild type OY (1,000 Kb) from which it originated, suggesting that the mechanisms of attachment to the cells of the insect had been lost. Susuki *et al.* (2006) reported that the membrane protein of the surface of phytoplasmas, referred to as antigenic membrane protein (Amp), forms a complex with three insect proteins (actin and the heavy and light chains of myosin). The complexes, Amp-microfilaments, were detected in all species of cicadellids, but not in the cicadellids that do not transmit OY, suggesting that the formation of the complex is correlated with the capacity of the cicadellids to transmit phytoplasmas. The OY phytoplasma was localized in the microfilaments of the smooth visceral muscle around the intestinal tract of the insects.

To be transmitted to plants, phytoplasmas must penetrate and accumulate at high levels in the acinar cells posterior to the salivary glands. In the salivary glands there are three barriers that the pathogens must overcome before they can be expelled with the saliva: the basal lamina, the basal plasmalemma and the apical plasmalemma. Cicadellid insects can be infected with a phytoplasma and still be incapable of transmitting it to healthy plants, perhaps due to the barrier of the salivary glands (Wayadande *et al.*, 1997, cited by Weintraub and Beanland, 2006).

The retention of the infection in the insect vectors after molting is evidence that the pathogen moves towards the mid-layer of the intestine, which is not lost during molting; for this reason the infection is retained throughout the life of the insect, even though the efficiency of transmission of the adults is reduced (Tedeschi and Alma, 2004).

The cycle of transmission of phytoplasmas depends on the life cycle (monovoltine, multivoltine) and feeding habit (monophagous, polyphagous) of their insect vectors; polyphagous vectors have the potential to inoculate a wide range of plant species, depending on the resistance to infection of each host plant. Various studies have demonstrated that insects that do not normally feed on certain species of plants can acquire and transmit phytoplasmas to these plants under laboratory conditions. Thus, in many cases, the host ranges of vectors limit the dispersion of phytoplasmas by these species; the absence of specific cell membrane receptors for phytoplasmas can further limit their spread. For example, the cicadellids *Euscelidius variegatus*, *Macrostelus quadripunctulatus* and *Euscelis incisus* are able to acquire the Chrysanthemum yellows (CY) phytoplasma from infected chrysanthemum plants and transmit it to healthy plants of the same species; however, only *M. quadripunctulatus* and *E. variegatus* can acquire CY from *Vinca* infected with CY and transmit it to uninfected plants (Bosco *et al.*, 1997). On the other hand, none of the cicadellids acquire the phytoplasma from celery plants infected by CY, for which phenomenon the term ‘dead-end host’ has been proposed, referring to plants that can be inoculated and subsequently show infection but from which the phytoplasmas cannot be acquired by insects when they feed on these plants (Weintraub and Beanland, 2006).

The symptoms of phytoplasma attack resemble those of hormonal imbalance (Pecho and Vizarova, 1990, cited by Weintraub and Beanland, 2006) and changes in the translocation of carbohydrates and amino acids in plants (Lepka *et al.*, 1999); therefore, the infection can show systemically but the phytoplasmas may not be present in the tissues showing symptoms (Weintraub and Beanland, 2006). On the other hand, the biochemical imbalances caused by the infection may impede the acquisition of phytoplasmas (Weintraub and Beanland, 2006).

## **2. Factors Involved in the Transmission of Phytoplasmas by the Insect Vector**

Some of the factors involved in the ability of the insect vector to transmit phytoplasmas are the genus, sex, and the age of the insect (nymphal instars, or adults either recently emerged or mature). For years, investigators have found that the genus of leafhoppers can influence the acquisition and transmission of phytoplasmas (Chiykowski and Sinha, 1989; Swenson, 1971). Females of *M. quadrilineatus* were more efficient than males at transmitting AY to lettuce, although perhaps equal percentages of males and females would have transmitted phytoplasmas if both had been analyzed as the adult (Beanland *et al.*, 2000). And on the other hand, high titers of phytoplasmas have been observed in the salivary glands of young males of *E. variegatus* compared to females (Lefol *et al.*, 1994). In the same way, another factor that influences the capacity for transmission of the vector is its age; recently emerged nymphs of *E. variegatus* do not acquire CY with the same efficiency as nymphs of the fifth instar (Palermo *et al.*, 2001), and in some cases the transmission is greater when the phytoplasmas are acquired by nymphs rather than adults (Moya and Nault, 1998; Murrall *et al.*, 1996). The differences in behavior between males and females of insect vectors could be one reason to explain the differences observed between genera, and could affect the dynamics or distribution of the disease as males move around and between plants searching for the females (Hunt *et al.*, 1993).

The race of the phytoplasma and the environmental conditions are also factors that can interact with the age of the vector in the ability of leafhoppers to transmit phytoplasmas (Murrall *et al.*, 1996).

-  
-  
-

TO ACCESS ALL THE 14 PAGES OF THIS CHAPTER,  
Visit: <http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx>

### Bibliography

Additional effort is need to identified linkages between phytoplasma classification, mechanisms of pathogenicity and plant response.

Alma, A., Bosco, D., Danielli, A., Bertaccini, A., Vibio, M., and Arzone, A. 1997. Identification of phytoplasmas in eggs, nymphs and adults of *Scaphoideus titanus* Ball reared on healthy plants. *Insect Molecular Biology* 6: 115-121.[Describe the presence oh the phytoplasma in different state of the development of the insect].

Arocha, Y., López, M., Fernández, M., Piñol, B., Horta, D., Peralta, E. L., Almeida, R.,Carvajal, O., Picornell, S., Wilson, M. R., and Jones, P. 2005. Transmission of sugarcane yellow leaf phytoplasma by the delphacid planthopper *Saccharosydne saccharivora*, a new vector of sugarcane yellow leaf syndrome. *Plant Pathology* 54: 634-642.[Describe the transmission test of the phytoplasmas].

Beanland, L., Hoy, C. W., Miller, S. A., and Nault, L. R. 2000. Influence of aster yellows phytoplasma on the fitness of aster leafhopper (Homoptera: Cicadellidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 93: 271-276.[In these paper is about the influence of the phytoplasma on the life cycle of the insect vector].

Bressan, A., Girolami, A. and Boudon, P. E. 2005. Reduced fitness of the leafhopper vector *Scaphoideus titanus* exposed to Flavescence dorée phytoplasma. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 115: 283-290. [In these paper is about the influence of the phytoplasma on the life cycle of the insect vector].

Blood, H. L., Richards B. L., and Wann, F. B. 1933. Studies of psyllid yellows of tomato. *Phytopathology* 23: 930.[ The effect of the *Paratrioza cockerelli* on tomato plants].

Bosco D, Minucci C, Boccardo G, Conti M. 1997. Differential acquisition of chrysanthemum yellows phytoplasma by three leafhopper species. *Entomology Experimental Applied* 83:219–24. [Behavior one phytoplasma in different hosts].

Carter, R. D. 1950. Toxicity of *Paratrioza cockerelli* to certain solanaceous plants.Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California. 128 p. [ Response *Paratrioza cockerelli* populations on different solanaceous plants].

Chiykowski L. N, Sinha R. C. 1988. Some factors affecting the transmission of eastern peach X-mycoplasmalike organism by the leafhopper *Paraphlepsius irroratus*. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology*. 10:85–92. [Describe the best conditions for the transmission of eastern peach X-phytoplasma].

Cousin, M. T., and Boudon, P. E. 2002. Phytoplasmes et phytoplasmoses: vecteurs, méthodes de lutte et thèmes de recherche. *Cahiers D'études et de Recherches Francophones / Agricultures* 11: 115-26. [About the most important phytoplasmas in some places of France].

Cranshaw, W. 1999. Watch for potato/tomato psyllid. *Pest Alert* 16: 1-2. [About the presence of psyllid like potential vector of the disease].

- Daniels, L. B. 1954. The nature of the toxicogenic condition resulting from the feeding of the tomato psyllid *Paratrioza cockerelli* (Sulc). Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. Minnesota. 119 p.[About the presence of the psyllid toxins like disease inductor].
- Ferguson, G., and Fraser, H. 2003. Potato psyllid - A new pest in greenhouse tomatoes and peppers. Ministry of Agriculture and Food. Ontario, Canada.[ A brief note about *Paratrioza cockerelli*].
- García-Salazar C, Whalon ME, Rahardja U. 1991. Temperature-dependent pathogenicity of the X-Disease mycoplasma-like organism to its vector: *Paraphlepsius irroratus* (Homoptera: Cicadellidae). *Environment Entomology* 20:179–84.[ In these reference mainly focusing on the environment conditions for the survive of the phytoplasmas in the insect vector].
- Garzón, T. J. A., Garza, C. A., y Bujanos, M. R. 1986. Determinación del insecto vector de la enfermedad de tipo viral “permanente del tomate” (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) en la región del Bajío. pp. 30. In: *Memorias XIII Congreso Nacional de la Sociedad Mexicana de Fitopatología*. Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Chiapas.[ Describe the symptoms of the new disease en México].
- Garzón, T. J. A., Garzón, C. J. A., Velarde, F. S., Marín, J. A., y Cárdenas, V. O. G. 2005. Ensayos de transmisión del fitoplasma asociado al “permanente del tomate” por el psílido *Bactericera cockerelli* Sulc. en México. pp. 672-675. In: *Entomología Mexicana*. Vol. 4. Resúmenes. Sociedad Mexicana de Entomología, A. C.[ About phytoplasm transmission test].
- Hanboonsong, Y., Choosai, C., Panyim, S., and Damak, S. 2002. Transovarial transmission of sugarcane white leaf phytoplasma in the insect vector *Matsumuratettix hiroglyphicus* (Matsumura). *Insect Molecular Biology* 11: 97-103. [About the transmission test to white leaf phytoplasma].
- Hiruki, C. 1988. Rapid and specific detection methods for plant mycoplasmas. pp. 77-101. In: *Mycoplasmas diseases of crops; basic and applied aspects*. Maramorosch, K., and Raychaudhuri, S. P. (eds). Springer-Verlag. New York.[About fluorescence and transmission microscopy to detect phytoplasmas].
- Hunt R.E, Parr J. C, Haynes K. F. 1993. Influence of leafhopper (Homoptera: Cicadellidae) gender and female mating status on plant disease dynamics within a simple habitat. *Environment Entomology*. 22:109–15. [Behavior of one phytoplasm on one host].
- Kawakita, H., Saiki, T., Wei, W., Mitsuhashi, W., Watanabe, K., and Sato, M. 2000. Identification of mulberry dwarf phytoplasmas in the genital organs and eggs of leafhopper *Hishimonoides sellatiformis*. *Phytopathology* 90: 909-914. [About of the distribution of the phytoplasm inside the insect vector].
- Knowlton, G. F., and James, M. J. 1931. Studies on the biology of the *Paratrioza cockerelli* (Sulc.). *Entomological Society of America Annals* 24: 283-291.[About the different development states of *Paratrioza cockerelli*].
- Lee, I.-M., Gunderson-Rindal, D. E., and Bertaccini, A. 1998. Phytoplasma: Ecology and genomic diversity. *Phytopathology* 88:1359-1366. [Good summary of the complex interactions between plants, insect vectors and phytoplasmas].
- Lefol C, Lherminier J, Boudon-Padiou Larrue, J, Louis C, et al. 1994. Propagation of Flavescence dorée MLO (mycoplasma-like organism) in the leafhopper vector *Euscelidius variegatus* Kbm. *Journal Invertebrate Pathology*. 63:285–93. [About of the distribution of the phytoplasm inside the insect vector].
- Lepka, P., Stütt, M., Moll, E., and Seemüller, E. 1999. Effect of phytoplasmal infection on concentration and translocation of carbohydrates and amino acids in periwinkle and tobacco. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology* 55: 59-68. [About the effect of the presence of the phytoplasma on the metabolism of the plant].
- Liu, D., and Trumble, J. T. 2004. Tomato psyllid behavioral responses to tomato plant lines and interactions of plant lines with insecticides. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 97: 1078-1085.[About the management of the psyllid in tomato].
- Liu, D., and Trumble J. T. 2005. Interactions of plant resistance and insecticides on the development and survival of *Bactericera cockerelli* [Sulc] (Homoptera: Psyllidae). *Crop Protection* 24: 111-117. [About the management of the psyllid in tomato].

- Liu, D., Stouthamer, R. and Trumble J. T. 2006a. Genetic differentiation between eastern populations and recent introductions of potato psyllid (*Bactericera cockerelli*) into western North America. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*. The Netherlands Entomological Society 118: 177-183. [About the management of the psyllid in tomato].
- Liu, D., Johnson, L., and Trumble J. T. 2006b. Differential responses to feeding by the tomato/potato psyllid between two tomato cultivars and their implications in establishment of injury levels and potential of damaged plant recovery. *Insect Science* 13: 195-204. [About the management of the psyllid in tomato].
- List, G. M. 1939. The effect of temperature upon egg deposition, egg hatch, and nymphal development of *Paratrioza cockerelli* (Sulc). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 32: 30-36.[ The best conditions for the *Paratrioza cockerelli* development].
- Madden L. V, Nault L. R, Heady S. E, Styer W. E. 1995. Effect of maize stunting mollicutes on survival and fecundity of *Dalbulus* leafhopper vectors. *Annual Applied Biology*. 105:431–41. [About the impact of the phytoplasm in his later development of the insect vectors].
- Marcone, C., and Seemüller, E. 2001. A chromosome map of the European stone fruit yellows phytoplasma. *Microbiology* 147: 1213-1221.[Describe the chromosome ].
- Marín, J. A., Garzón, T. J. A., Becerra, F. A., Mejía, A. C., Bujanos, M. R., y Byerly, M.K. F. 1995. Manejo Integrado de Plagas (Costa Rica). No. 38 pp. 25-32.[Management of the psyllid *Paratrioza cockerelli*, in México].
- Mathen K, Rajan P, Radhakrishnan Nair C. P, Sasikala M. 1990. Transmission of root (wilt) disease to coconut seedlings through *Stephanitis typica* (Distant) (Heteroptera: Tingidae). *Tropical Agriculture*. 67:69–73.[Test of the transmission].
- Moya-Raygoza G, Nault L. R. 1998. Transmission biology of maize bushy stunt phytoplasma by the corn leafhopper (Homoptera:Cicadellidae). *Annals Entomological Society American*. 91:668–76. [About the transmission test].
- Murrall D. J, Nault LR, Hoy C. W, Madden L. V, Miller S.A. 1996. Effects of temperature and vector age on transmission of two Ohio strains of aster yellows phytoplasma by the aster leafhopper (Homoptera: Cicadellidae). *Journal Economic of Entomology*. 89:1223–32.[About the environmental conditions to require aster yellows phytoplasmas for his transmission].
- Nishigawa, H., Oshima, K., Kakizawa, S., Jung, H.-Y., Kuboyama, T., Miyata, S., Ugaki, M., and Namba, S. 2002. A plasmid from a non-insect-transmissible line of a phytoplasma lacks two open reading frames that exist in the plasmid from the wild-type line. *Gene* 298: 195-201.[Describes the molecular components of plasmid not insect transmissible].
- Oshima, K., Kakizawa, S., Nishigawa, H., Jung, H.-Y., Wei, W., Suzuki, S., Arashida, R., Nakata, D., Miyata, S., Ugaki, M., and Namba, S. 2004. Reductive evolution suggested from the complete genome sequence of a plant-pathogenic phytoplasma. *Nature Genetics* 36: 27-29. [Model of the Phytoplasma genome ].
- Palermo S, Arzone A, Bosco D. 2001. Vector-pathogen-host plant relationships of chrysanthemum yellows (CY) phytoplasma and the vector leafhoppers *Macrostelus quadripunctulatus* and *Euscelidius variegatus*. *Entomology Experimental Applied* 99:347–54. [Describes the different behavioral features of the same phytoplasm in different insect vectors].
- Parry, D. 1990. *Plant pathology in agriculture*. Cambridge University Press. 36-38 pp.[Is a good general text].
- Pavlista, A. 2002. Potato (tomato) psyllids. *Nebraska Potato Eyes* 14 2: 1-4.
- Richards, B. L. 1928. A new and destructive disease of the potato in Utah and its relation to potato psylla. *Phytopathology* 18: 140-141.[Describes the symptoms associates with one psyllid].
- Schall, L. A. 1938. Some factors affecting the symptoms of the psyllid yellows disease of potatoes. *American Potato Journal* 15: 193-212 [Environmental conditions like determinants of the symptoms expression].
- Suzuki, S., Oshima, K., Kakizawa, S., Arashida, R., Jung, H. Y., Yamaji, Y., Nishigawa, H.†, Ugaki, M.†, and Namba, S.†‡. 2006. [Interaction between the membrane protein of a pathogen and insect

microfilament complex determines insect-vector specificity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 103: 4252-4257.[ Analysis about of causes of the specificity of the insects vectors].

Swenson K. 1971. Relation of age, sex and mating of *Macrostelus fascifrons* to transmission of aster yellows. Phytopathology 61:657–59.[Includes test about the transmission of the aster yellows phytoplasma].

Tedeschi, R., and Alma, R. 2004. Transmission of Apple Proliferation Phytoplasma by *Cacopsylla melanoneura* (Homoptera: Psyllidae). Journal of Economic Entomology 97: 8-13.[Includes test about the transmission of the Apple Proliferation Phytoplasma ].

Tedeschi, R., Ferrato, V., Rossi, J., and Alma, A. 2006. Possible phytoplasma transovarial transmission in the psyllids *Cacopsylla melanoneura* and *Cacopsylla pruni*. Plant Pathology 55: 18-24. [Test about the transmission].

Trumble, J. 2005. The tomato psyllid: A new problem on fresh market tomatoes in California and Baja Mexico. Universidad de California, Riverside. Department Entomology. [http://ceventura.ucdavis.edu/Vegetable\\_Crops/Tomato\\_Psyllid.htm](http://ceventura.ucdavis.edu/Vegetable_Crops/Tomato_Psyllid.htm)

Uehara, T., Tanaka, M., Shiomi, T., Namba., Tsuchizaki, T., and Matsuda, I. 1999.[Describes the symptoms associated with the psyllid *Paratrioza cockerelli*].

Weintraub, P. G. and Beanland, L. 2006. Insect vectors of phytoplasmas. Annual Review of Entomology 51: 91-111.[Includes all the insects vectors of phytoplasmas].

#### **Additional References**

(The three articles listed below give a good summary of the complex interactions between plants, insect vectors and phytoplasmas, and therefore represent a good guide for and introduction to this type of phytopathological problem.)

Lee, I.-M., Gunderson-Rindal, D. E., and Bertaccini, A. 1998. Phytoplasma: Ecology and genomic diversity. Phytopathology 88:1359-1366.

Weintraub, P. G. and Beanland, L. 2006. Insect vectors of phytoplasmas. Annual Review of Entomology 51: 91-111.

Hunt R.E, Parr J. C, Haynes K. F. 1993. Influence of leafhopper (Homoptera: Cicadellidae) gender and female mating status on plant disease dynamics within a simple habitat. Environment Entomology 22:109-115.

#### **Biographical Sketch**

**R. I. Rojas-Martínez:** Master of Sciences, specializing in Phytopathology (1992), Doctorate in Sciences, specializing in Phytopathology (1999). Research Professor of the Colegio de Postgraduados. Since 1998 Rojas-Martínez worked with interactions between plants, phytoplasmas and their insect vectors. To date, Rojas-Martínez published 14 articles and two book chapters on this theme. Rojas-Martínez supervised through graduation 6 masters students and two doctoral students. Their investigations focused on the detection, classification and management of phytoplasmas.